





Method for designing WHRS in vehicles considering optimal control

Philipp Petr, Wilhelm Tegethoff, Jürgen Köhler

4. International Seminar on ORC Power Systems





Simulation

fast and robust dynamic simulation models of thermal components and systems

Customized Software

for model based development of thermal and other systems, visualization and model analysis

Test Benches

TLK-Thermo GmbH

Design and development of testing concepts, measurement services











Longitudinal Dynamics and Thermal Systems Model of an Omnibus







Transient Characteristics of the Exhaust Gas Flow in the WHVC







Exergetic Weighting of Occuring Exhaust Gas Temperatures







Considered Rankine Cycle Base Configuration







Developed Method







Distribution of Optimum Control Values for Various Component Efficiencies

Investigation of 2800 cycle characteristics per working fluid



Exhaust Gas Temperature in °C





Proposed Method







Online Computation of Optimal Operation Points and Control Values







Dynamic Evaporator Model

Sophisticated counterflow heat exchanger Modelica model based on TIL and TILMedia Library







Two Heat Exchanger Snapshots







Results of Measure "Reduced Tube Cross Sectional Area for Liquid Phase"







Evaluation of Optimized Process Control and Components in Virtual Test Drives







Conclusion

- 1. High benefit of optimizing process control
- 2. Significant shift of optimal operating points with change in component losses
- 3. Need for considering varying operating points in the design stage
- 4. Development of method and software tool chain for model based design



I am pleased to answer your questions!

Philipp Petr p.petr@tlk-thermo.com E.

TLK-Thermo GmbH Hans-Sommer-Str. 5 38106 Braunschweig www.tlk-thermo.de

Tel.: +49/531/390 76 - 260 Fax: +49/531/390 76 - 29





Influence of Process Control on Exergetic Efficiency



17





Predicted Working Fluid Mass and Volume Flow Rates in the WHVC







Average Exergetic Efficiencies of WHRS with Static Process Control







Results of Virtual Test Drive







Evaluation of different working fluids



Sehr guter Expander: $\eta_{Expander,isen} = 0.75$, $\eta_{Pumpe,isen} = 0.5$, $\Delta T_{Pinch} = 20 \text{ K}$, $\eta_{Interner WU} = 0.8$, $\Delta p_{WU} = 0.5 \text{ bar}$





Control Concept for the Rankine Process







Extent of Parameter Sensitivity Analysis

Parameter	Values
Ŋ Expander	$\{1, 0.9, 0.8, 0.7, 0.6, 0.5, 0.4\}$
Ŋ _{IHX}	{1, 0.8}
$\Delta p_{WF,HX}$	{0, 1 bar}
Ŋ Pump	$\{1, 0.75, 0.50, 0.25\}$
ΔT_{PP}	{0, 10, 20, 30 K}
T _{Condensing}	{20, 40, 60, 80, 100 °C}
N Optimization Problems	226.240 (per Working Fluid)





Influence on single parameters on the exergetic efficiency of the process







Two Process Snapshots

